

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



APPLICATION NO.	FILI	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/075,186	02/14/2002		Gary Scott Fallowes	HJH/FAL	7262
7590 07/26/2004			EXAMINER		
Herman Hoha			YEUNG, GEORGE CHAN PUI		
6212 Berlee Drive Alexandria, VA 22312			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1761	
				DATE MAILED: 07/26/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		γ (σ
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/075,186	FALLOWES, GARY SCOTT
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	George C Yeung	1761
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS ate, cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed 0) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) Th 3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under 	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters	· •
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-31 is /are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) 15-20,24-27,30 and 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3,5,6,9-12,14,21-23 and 28 is/are 7) Claim(s) 4,7,8,13 and 29 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on 05 April 2002 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corresion of the cor	d 31 is are withdrawn from con rejected. for election requirement. her. a) □ accepted or b) ☒ objected or drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ection is required if the drawing(s)	d to by the Examiner. . See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applority documents have been re au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	——————————————————————————————————————	imary (PTO-413) lail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)

DETAILED ACTION

Specification Objection

The specification is objected to because the Abstract appearing at page 1, line 2 through page 2, line 2, is superfluous and it should be deleted.

Drawing Objections

Figures 1-5 are objected to as being informal. Applicant is requested to submit new, formal drawings in response to this Office action.

Claim Rejection - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-3, 5, 6, 9-12, 14, 21-23 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention for the following reasons:

- 1. Claim 1 is incomplete and indefinite since it fails to recite the functional effect of the subjecting step. An amendment to claim 1, line 5, after "heat" inserting -- to cook the food items -- would obviate this rejection.
- 2. The parenthetical limitation "item(s)" recited in claim 1, line 5 is indefinite.
- 3. There is no antecedent basis for "the preparation process" as recited in claim 5.

Art Unit: 1761

There is no antecedent basis for "the heating process" as recited in claim

- 5. The term "can be" recited in claim 22, line 1, is indefinite. The change of this term to is would overcome this rejection.
- 6. There is also no antecedent basis for "the cooking time" as recited in claim 23.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 5, 6, 9-12, 14, 21-23 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Nersesian (U.S. Patent 5,709,142). See especially column 16, lines 60-62 of Nersesian. Note that the subject matter of claims 3, 6 and 21 read on the skewer eyelet 676 of Nersesian, which facilitates the removal of cooked food products from the skewer.

Claims 1, 3, 6, 9-12, 14, 21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Zenos (U.S. Patent 3,734,740). See especially column 4, lines 12-15 of Zenos. Note that the subject matter of claims 3, 6 and 21 read on the sleeve 39 of Zenos, which facilitates the removal of cooked food product from the skewer.

Art Unit: 1761

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 4, 7, 8, 13 and 29 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Examiner George C. Yeung whose telephone number is (571) 272-1412. The examiner can generally be reached on Monday-Friday from 10:30 a.m. to 7:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on (571) 272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

G.C. Yeung/dh

July 22, 2004

GEORGE C. YEUNG PRIMARY EXAMINER